

MINUTES

BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICINE GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING MARRIOTT TAMPA AIRPORT TAMPA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TAMPA, FL 33607

JUNE 15, 2005

CALL TO ORDER

Dr. O'Neil called the meeting to order June 15, 2005 at 8:10 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Dr. Robert O'Neil, Chairman
Dr. Sergio Vega, Vice Chair
Dr. Kandra Jones
Dr. Katherine Horky
Dr. Joann Helm
Ms. Susan Love
Ms. Cynthia Lewis

OTHERS PRESENT

Jeffrey Jones, Board Counsel
Drew Winters Prosecuting Attorney
Juanita Chastain, Executive Director
Linda Tinsley, Government Analyst
Patricia K. Gough, Bay Park Reporting-727.823.8388
Charles Curtis
Dr. William Marks
Dr. Roy Sadovsky
Donald Schaefer, FVMA
Dr. Sheldon Schwartzbrott
Annette Poirier, CVT

APPROVAL OF THE MARCH 1, 2005 MEETING MINUTES

MOTION: Dr. Vega made a motion to approve the March 1, 2005 meeting minutes as printed.

SECOND: Ms. Lewis seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS

William J. Marks, DVM: Case number 2003-088922

Dr. Marks was present at the meeting and was represented by counsel Charles Curtis. He was sworn in by the court reporter.

Dr. Jones and Ms. Lewis were recused due to their participation on the probable cause panel.

Mr. Winters presented the case as a Settlement Stipulation. Mr. Winters advised the board that the Department filed an administrative complaint with one count. Mr. Winters stated that the Department recommended a fine of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) and three hundred and ninety dollars and sixty one cents (\$390.61) in costs.

After discussion by the board the following motions were made.

MOTION: Ms. Love made a motion to reject the Settlement Stipulation.

SECOND: Dr. Horky seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: Dr. Horky made a motion impose a one thousand dollar (\$1,000.00) fine and costs.

SECOND: Dr. Vega seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Dr. Marks accepted the counter Settlement Stipulation.

Barry S. Stern, DVM: Case number 2003-060555

Dr. Stern was present at the meeting and was not represented by counsel. He was sworn in by the court reporter.

Dr. Jones and Ms. Lewis were recused due to their participation on the probable cause panel.

Mr. Winters presented the case as a Settlement Stipulation. Mr. Winters advised the board that the Settlement Stipulation called for a seven hundred and fifty dollar (\$750.00) fine, six (6) months probation, an appearance before the board and costs in the amount of five hundred and thirty three dollars and forty two

cents (\$533.42). He stated that the department recommends that the board enter into a final order adopting the Settlement Stipulation.

After discussion by the board the following motion was made.

MOTION: Dr. Vega made a motion to adopt the Settlement Stipulation.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Dr. Stern stated he would like to make monthly payments. The board agreed to six (6) months of payments.

Richard M. Jaffe, DVM: Case number 2003-065398

Dr. Jaffe was not present at the meeting and was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Jones and Ms. Lewis were recused due to their participation on the probable cause panel.

Mr. Winters presented the case as a Motion for Waiver of Rights and Final Order. Mr. Winters stated that the administrative complaint was properly served on March 23, 2005 by certified mail. He stated that the respondent's response to the administrative complaint was due on or before April 13, 2005. He stated that the respondent failed to elect a hearing or dispute the facts in the case. Mr. Winters stated that the respondent failed to respond in twenty one (21) days and had waived his right to dispute the facts in the case or to request a hearing. Mr. Winters advised the board that the department requests that the board finds that the respondent has waived his right to a hearing in the matter.

MOTION: Dr. Helm made a motion that the respondent waived his rights and the board legally found that the respondent failed to respond.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: Dr. Helm made a motion to adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as the board's findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

After discussion by the board the following motion was made.

MOTION: Dr. Vega made a motion to impose a four thousand dollar (\$4,000.00) fine, costs, suspension of license until he appears, and the final order will be hand served and the department will check to see if he is practicing.

SECOND: Dr. Horky seconded the motion.

After discussion by the board the following motion was made.

MOTION: Dr. Helm made a motion to amend the previous motion to stay the suspension until he appears at the September 1, 2005 meeting.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Jay H. Jones, DVM: Case number 2004-021752

The case was tabled and will be considered at the September 1, 2005 meeting.

Charles L. Gill, DVM: Case number 2000-09651

Dr. Gill was not present at the meeting and was not represented by counsel.

Dr. Jones and Ms. Lewis were recused due to their participation on the probable cause panel.

Mr. Winters presented the case as a Hearing Not Involving a Dispute of Material Fact. Mr. Winters stated that the department is requesting that the board find that the respondent is not requesting a DOAH hearing but the respondent has requested a hearing and has not established a material disputed fact and has not elected to go to DOAH.

After discussion by the board the following motion was made.

MOTION: Dr. Helm made a motion to find that the respondent has not elected a hearing pursuant to the Division of Administrative Hearings (DOAH) section 120.5771, Florida Statutes.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

MOTION: Dr. Helm made a motion to adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as set forth in the administrative complaint as the board's findings of fact and conclusions of law.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

After discussion by the board the following motion was made.

MOTION: Dr. Helm made a motion to impose a reprimand, six (6) months probation a fifteen hundred dollar (\$1500.00) fine and eight hundred and ninety three dollars and nineteen cents (\$893.19) in costs.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Scott D. Reed, DVM: Case number 2001-09651

Dr. Reed was present and was not represented by counsel. He was sworn in by the court reporter.

Ms. Cynthia Lewis was recused to due to her participation on the probable cause panel.

Mr. Winters presented the case as a Hearing not Involving a Dispute of Material Fact. Mr. Winters advised the board that the guidelines in the case were to impose one (1) year probation and a two thousand dollar (\$2,000.00) fine and one thousand and nine dollars and one cent (\$1,309.01) in costs. Mr. Winters stated that the costs are higher than usual in the case and the board may want to lower the fine.

Dr. Reed addressed the board.

MOTION: Dr. Jones made a motion to adopt the findings of fact and conclusions of law as the board's findings of fact and conclusions of law.

SECOND: Dr. Helm seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

After discussion by the board the following motion was made.

MOTION: Dr. Vega made a motion to impose six (6) months probation and costs.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed.

Dr. Horky was opposed.

Dr. Reed asked the board for six (6) months to pay the costs in full.

The board agreed.

REVIEW/APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS

Sheldon Swarzbrott, DVM

Dr. Swarzbrott was present and was not represented by counsel. He was sworn in by the court reporter.

Ms. Tinsley reviewed Dr. Swarzbrott's application with the board. She advised the board that Dr. Swarzbrott requested that the board accept his New York State board exam in lieu of the statutory requirement for the Clinical Competency test.

After discussion by the board the following motion was made.

MOTION: Ms. Lewis made a motion to approve Dr. Swarzbrott for a Florida license.

SECOND: Dr. Jones seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Roy Sadovsky, DVM

Dr. Sadovsky was present and was represented by, Mr. Marvin Kornberg. Dr. Sadovsky was sworn in by the court reporter.

Dr. Sadovsky has applied for licensure by endorsement. Ms. Tinsley advised the board that Dr. Sadovsky requested that the board accept his New York boards in lieu of the Clinical Competency test. Dr. Sadovsky was disciplined by the State of New York and he answered yes to question one on the application under background information which asks "have you ever been convicted of a crime."

Mr. Kornberg advised the board that he was at the meeting to explain certain problems Dr. Sadovsky had had in New York and he was asking the board not to consider those problems when the board considered him for licensure in Florida.

Mr. Kornberg advised the board that Dr. Sadovsky was approached by members from the Drug Enforcement Agency. He stated the Drug Enforcement Agency wanted to know about certain purchases of the drug ketamine. He stated that they wanted to know about his purchases prior to ketamine becoming a controlled substance. He stated that Dr. Sadovsky was placed under arrest at

that time. He stated that as Dr. Sadovsky's counsel he appeared with him at the office of the United States Attorney's. He stated that at that time he agreed to work undercover on behalf of the United States Government and on behalf of the Drug Enforcement Agency. He stated the investigation went in two directions it went first in the direction with respect to the manufacturer and who on behalf of the manufacturer was permitting ketamine to be sold in large quantities to veterinarians and other doctors. He stated that as a result of Dr. Sadovsky wiring himself and working undercover arrests were made within the company and convictions were had on the company side with respect to the distribution of ketamine.

Mr. Kornberg advised the board that Dr. Sadovsky went in the second direction which was the street direction. Mr. Kornberg stated that Dr. Sadovsky wired himself for a controlled delivery on the streets of New York. He stated that Dr. Sadovsky was given ketamine to deliver. He stated that several arrests were made at gunpoint by the Drug Enforcement Agency including Dr. Sadovsky. He stated that Dr. Sadovsky's arrest was a controlled arrest, he was let go around the corner.

Mr. Kornberg stated that what Dr. Sadovsky had done subsequent to ketamine becoming a controlled substance, he plead guilty in the Federal District Court in New York. He stated that prior to Dr. Sadovsky's sentence the United States Attorney's office wrote two (2) letters to the sentencing Judge recommending leniency as allowed under Federal guidelines. He stated that a third letter from the United States Attorney's office made a recommendation to the Regents Review Committee in the State of New York that they not take any action with respect to Dr. Sadovkys license in the State of New York. Mr. Kornberg stated that Dr. Sadovksy is still licensed in the State of New York. Mr. Kornberg stated that Dr. Sadovsky made a mistake and he asked the board to consider what he has done to rectify his mistake and permit him to practice in the State of Florida.

Dr. O'Neil asked Dr. Sadovsky if he knew him.

Dr. Sadovsky stated that he did know Dr. O'Neil.

Dr. O'Neil advised the board that he met Dr. Sadovsky thirty (30) years ago at Monticello Race Track in New York when he was working as a vet assistant for another practice. He stated it would not affect his judgment, he has not seen Dr. Sadovsky since that time.

Dr. O'Neil asked Dr. Sadovsky what happened to the drugs he was buying.

Dr. Sadovsky stated that they were going to the streets.

Dr. O'Neil stated that they were used as a date rape drug.

Dr. Sadovsky stated that he assumed so yes.

Dr. Helm stated that the New York Veterinary Board disciplined him and the state of New York disciplined him and imposed a twenty thousand dollar (\$20,000.00) fine, six (6) months house arrest, three (3) years probation and one hundred hours of community service per year for three years. Dr. Helm stated that he has not completed that because this was imposed in 2003. Dr. Helm stated that he has not had time to complete the community service. She asked if he could do the community service in Florida.

Mr. Kornberg stated that if Dr. Sadovsky moves to Florida the probation would be transferred to Florida.

Ms. Love stated that Mr. Sadovsky answered no to a question on the application asking if his license had ever been acted against in another jurisdiction.

Dr. Sadovsky advised the board that he thought that he answered it correctly.

Mr. Kornberg advised the board that he had the sentencing minutes and in reference to the twenty thousand dollar (\$20,000.00) fine he read the minutes to the board. He stated that Dr. Sadovsky asked the court if he could pay one thousand (\$1,000.00) per month during the period of probation. He stated that the court answered yes. Mr. Kornberg stated that he addressed the court that Dr. Sadovsky could pay as long as he is permitted to work. He stated that the court stated that Dr. Sadovsky is permitted to work. Mr. Kornberg advised the board that the court permitted him to work in the State of New York. He stated that he is still licensed in the State of New York, New Jersey and an inactive license in the state of Pennsylvania. He stated that no State has taken Dr. Sadovsky's license away.

Mr. Jones asked Mr. Kornberg if Dr. Sadovsky reported his Federal conviction to the licensing board.

Mr. Kornberg stated that it had been reported to the licensing board. He stated the letter dated August 24, 2004 that the United States Attorney sent to the Regents Review Committee stated what Dr. Sadovsky had done and the cooperation that he had performed. Mr. Kornberg stated that the letter asked that the Regents not take any action against him.

Mr. Jones stated that the letter indicates that there is a review being conducted.

Mr. Kornberg stated that a review was being conducted because if you are a professional and have been convicted of a crime you have to notify the Regents Review Committee. Mr. Kornberg stated that automatically triggers an action.

He stated that the State Attorney's office wrote the letter and Dr. Sadovsky has heard nothing.

Dr. Helm stated that the board date is 1997 when he was on probation with the board and the Federal conviction was in 2003. She stated how did she know whether the board knows about the Federal conviction.

Mr. Jones stated that he doesn't have the outcome of the review from the New York Board.

Mr. Kornberg stated that they have nothing and Dr. Sadovsky is still licensed in the State of New York and no action has been taken.

Mr. Jones stated that based upon the endorsement statute if there is an active investigation that is being conducted at the time endorsement is being put forth than endorsement cannot move forward. He stated that if there is an active investigation going on in another jurisdiction that person cannot be licensed.

Dr. Jones stated that the board would need a letter from the New York State Board that the case had been reviewed.

Dr. O'Neil stated that he had a problem that Dr. Sadovsky sold drugs.

Dr. Helm stated that she would like to know that the State of New York is ok with his conviction.

Ms. Love stated that she felt that they need verification from New York that they knew what was going on with his case.

Ms. Lewis stated that she agreed with Dr. Helm and Ms. Love and it should be tabled until the board had some verification.

Dr. Jones stated that the board should not consider the application until the board gets verification from New York what was going on with Dr. Sadovsky's case.

Mr. Jones stated that a felony conviction can be used as a basis for denial.

Dr. O'Neil advised Dr. Sadovsky that the board was going to table the consideration of Dr. Sadovsky's application until the board received a letter from New York board stating that he was not under investigation or there are no investigations concerning Dr. Sadovsky's New York license. Dr. O'Neil stated that when the board receives the letter the board would re-hear the case.

Mr. Winters advised the board that they may want to address the ninety (90) and one hundred and twenty (120) day provisions concerning the application to make sure the board does not run into a deemer problem. He stated that he wanted to make sure the board was secure in the fact that there is a deemer clause that requires a license to be granted or denied in ninety (90) days of the date of completion of the application. He stated that in this case the individual would have to be denied or granted the license unless they waive their provision of Chapter 120. He stated that Dr. Sadovsky may not want a denial on his license and if given the opportunity would be able to provide the information but it would require that he provide us the time period in which to do that by waiving that provision so they could bring the information to the next board meeting.

Mr. Kornberg stated that they did not have a problem with the waiver but they did have a problem with the time limit. He stated that it may be a little impractical to put a time limit on getting a letter from the board of Regents in the State of New York by September 2005. Mr. Kornberg stated that when he did get the letter he would contact Ms. Tinsley and schedule to attend the next meeting. He stated he does not know how long it is going to take to get a letter.

Mr. Winters advised the board that for the record Dr. Sadovsky should state that he does waive his right to approval or denial or the ninety (90) and one hundred and twenty day (120) provisions and therefore to allow the board time to receive the new information.

Dr. Sadovsky waived his provision in that matter.

DISCUSSION

Rule 61G18-11.002 Examination and Licensure
Rule 61G18-12.002 Examination and Reexamination Fees
Rule 61G18-14.002 Licensure by Endorsement

Mr. Jones advised the board that the rule information was on the agenda for information. He stated that the rule changes were implemented to expedite the changes to the licensing process. Mr. Jones advised the board that the material was on the agenda so they could see the end result.

Continuing Education Course Renewals

Ms. Chastain asked the board if course renewals could be approved administratively or should they come back to the board.

It was the consensus of the board that they would come back to the board for review and approval.

NAVLE Application

Ms. Chastain advised the board that the application was the final draft for the Florida NAVLE application and was put on the agenda for their information.

RATIFICATION OF APPLICATIONS

Exam and Licensure Applications

MOTION: Ms. Lewis made a motion to ratify Dr. O'Neil's actions on the following exam candidates.

SECOND: Dr. Jones seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Dr. O'Neil approved by conference call April 29, 2005

Senior Graduates

Jeffrey T. Alt, Cynthia L. Bauer, Emily C. Bedon, Abigail K. Blair, Becky L. Burk, Holly D. Burr, Jeanette Cole, Brent V. Carnathan, Dondrae J. Coble, Zuliekam M. Cotto-Carballo, Heather J. Culbertson, April M. Durant, Collen R. Elligott, Caroline A. Fenlon, William T. Fulton, Krista A. Hardy, Karen M. Hilling, Christopher K. Kelly, Debra C. Klages, Emily B. Lamm, Jessica L. Lannes, Mark D. Leichty, Shane N. Lyon, Robert B. Martin, Robert J. Matyjaszek, Matthew R. Nimmo, Adesola O. Odunayo, Pegah B. Rachedi, Juan P. Toro, Jennifer Vann, Wifredo Viera, Romanie V. Walter, Cara E. White, Liat E. Zilkha, Paul D. Zimprich

AVMA Graduates

Beata Dubiel, Kathryn A. Fell, Katherine S. Garrett, Venkat R. Gutta, Tracy L. Mackay, Lisa Z. Martin

Foreign Graduates with ECFVG

Inocencio O. Cruz III, Juan C. Cuellar Sanabria, Frank S. Ferrera, Karen E. Illel, Heather L. Lingley, Tricia A. Pharr, Romina Oana Scorteanu, Amy Urdaneta

Endorsement

Harold G. Barnes, Robert H. Bauman, Karen Censoprano, Christina Sin See Chong, Virginia Glander, Elizabeth M. Maloney, Geruza Paiva, Daisy E. Richardson, David F. Senior, Deborah L. Spike-Spierce

Dr. O'Neil approved by conference call June 10, 2005

Senior Graduates

Stacey M. Bender, Abigail K. Blair, Joelle K. Daniels, Jennifer M. Evans, Maya T. Hainze, Stephanie L. Henley, David A. Jimenez, Jesun Kim, Christy L. Moore, Sarah E. Musulin, Amybeth Rice, Michael W. Russell, Douglas J. Spiker, Leann L. Stocks

AVMA Graduates

Mahtieu M. Glassman, Amy C. Dixon-Jimenez, Michael J. Higgenbotham, Emily M. Johnson, Emily K. Kupprion, Nicolas Maldonado, Christen M. Woodley

Foreign Graduates with ECFVG

Yesenia S. Fernandez, Mohammed N. Hassan, Kristopher N. Minnich, Raul Ortiz-Umpierre

Endorsement

Jerry F. Breuel, Bruce H. Brown, Tonya D. Curtis, Ryland B. Edwards III, Michael J. Fallon, Barnes R. Hecht, Mary Hernandez, Shannon Ivey, Susan M. Pollen, Shannon O. Roberson

Continuing Education

MOTION: Dr. Jones made a motion to approve the following continuing education courses.

SECOND: Ms. Lewis seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Affiliated Veterinary Specialists, Orange Park – *Right Atrial Hemangiosarcoma – 2 hours*

Alachua County Veterinary Medical Association –*Canine Osteoarthritis: Actions Speak Louder than Words – 1.5 hours*

Lacher McDonald Consulting – *DVM to CEO – 6 hours*

Northwest Florida Veterinary Medical Association-*Pharmacy Substance Review – 2 hours*

Broward county Veterinary Medical Association-*Feline Vaccine Associated Sarcoma-1 hour*

ASAP CE –*Diabetes Mellitus – 5.5 hours*

Premise Permits

MOTION: Dr. Helm made a motion to approve all of the premise permit applications initialed and approved by Dr. Horky.

SECOND: Dr. Jones seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

March 30, 2005 Premise Permits

Alafia Animal Hospital, Animal Cancer Care Clinic/Deerfield Beach, At Home Mobile Veterinary Clinic, Banfield Pet Hospital #0297, Glencoe Veterinary Hospital, Miami Veterinary Specialists, Pinecrest Pet Hospital, Seminole Animal Hospital, Young's Animal Hospital

April 29, 2005 Premise Permits

Animal Welfare Society of South Florida, AVS Companion Animal Hospital, Country Oaks Animal Hospital, Emergency Pet Care of Jupiter, Gardens Animal Hospital, Miami Beach Animal Hospital, North Shore Animal Hospital, Parkway Animal Hospital, Pasco Animal Hospital, Pine Meadow Veterinary Clinic, Southeast Veterinary Oncology, Timber View Pet Clinic, VCXA Riviera Bay Animal Hospital

REPORTS

Board Counsel - Jeffrey Jones

Mr. Jones advised the board that he had received a letter from the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC). He stated that Ms. Printy was questioning the board's authority for the definition of the rule. Mr. Jones stated that he would respond and get back to the board.

Prosecuting Attorney – Drew Winters

Mr. Winters advised the board that there were one hundred and forty eight (148) cases in legal. He stated that there were sixty three (63) cases ready for probable cause.

Executive Director – Juanita Chastain

Ms. Chastain advised the board that the department was working on a new public service announcement featuring the Board of Veterinary Medicine and would cost approximately twelve thousand dollars (\$12,000.00) that will be taken out of the board's unlicensed activity fund. Ms. Chastain advised the board that there was a thank you letter in the folder to the Governor thanking them for their help during the legislative session.

MOTION: Dr. Vega made a motion to approve the thank you letter.

SECOND: Ms. Love seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Financial Report – Susan Love

Ms. Love reviewed her report with the board and stated that financially the board was ok.

Board Chair – Dr. Robert O’Neil

Dr. O’Neil thanked Dr. Jones for her help with the pharmacy bill.

OLD BUSINESS

Dr. Vega advised the board that the University of Florida, College of Veterinary Medicine requested that the board meet in Gainesville so the students could attend the meeting. He stated that the meeting would be mandatory for the students to attend.

Donald Schaefer advised the board that the FVMA is getting feedback that when a complaint is made regarding unlicensed activity a complaint is made and it is never investigated.

Mr. Winters stated that Laura Gaffney handles unlicensed activity. He stated that a lot of complaints are anonymous and the complainant does not want to testify.

Mr. Schaefer stated that the FVMA would like a statement from the department stating if you do your part we will do our part.

Mr. Winters stated that he would get with Mark Reddinger, the unlicensed activity director and they would contact Mr. Schaefer.

Mr. Schaefer asked the board if the letter from the University of Florida addressing the problems the students had this past year was addressed.

Ms. Chastain stated that the letter was forwarded to the Central Intake Unit and they were making every effort to address the problems.

Mr. Schaefer stated that the animal health bill that ended in committee and the bill passed in the House and the Senate focused on the exemption section. He stated that the key issue that won a lot of interest by the legislatures was the concept that the agricultural exemption is applied under the term regular

employee which means a full time employee. He stated that if a rancher is not large enough to have a full time employee they are not allowed to bring in a part time or independent contractor to assist in caring for the animals. He stated that the FVMA had great difficulty dealing with that issue because it is seen as an issue of fairness.

Dr. O'Neil stated that it has never been a problem and no one has ever been prosecuted.

Mr. Schaefer stated that the FVMA has been working on language that would address the problem. He stated that they will focus on food animals and not throw equine into the mix. He stated that the statutory language reads regular employee. He stated that they cannot see where the statutory language regular employee means full time employee. He stated that since there is not clarity he may come back to the board and ask for a rule change regarding regular employee. He stated that would give latitude to say that exemption applies to herd animals, food animals and it applies to people brought in on a regular basis to assist. He stated that may help on the rule side to satisfy that group until next year. He stated that next year a change to the practice act may be addressed to bring these other group of modalities under Chapter 474, Florida Statutes. He stated that the Alternative and Complementary Therapy rule could strengthen by a statutory change. Mr. Schaefer stated that the best bill is a department bill and that would be the best way to pass legislation.

Mr. Schaeffer advised the board that the pharmacy technician bill was vetoed by the Governor.

Mr. Schaeffer stated that the rabies bill passed and the State of Florida recognizes the vaccine for the full duration of immunity and a county government can now recognize the three (3) year or one (1) year vaccine.

NEW BUSINESS

Dr. Jones asked that she would like to make a change to the November 2005 meeting. Ms. Lewis stated that she would like it changed as well.

MOTION: Dr. Vega made a motion to adjourn.

SECOND: Dr. Helm seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:25 a.m.

