

MINUTES  
BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE AND INTERIOR DESIGN

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL

June 15, 2004

9:00 A.M. Eastern Time

Toll Free 800.416.4254 or Direct 850.922.2903

**Call to Order**

Mr. Bullock called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

Board Members Present:

Miguel Rodriguez  
Neil Hall  
Garrick Gustafson  
Rick Gonzalez  
Ellis Bullock, Chair  
Joyce Shore  
Mary Jane Grigsby  
Stephen Schreiber  
Kenneth Horstmyer

Board Members Absent:

Sharon Del Bianco  
Roymi Membiela

Others Present:

Mary Ellen Clark, Board Counsel  
David Minacci, Prosecuting Attorney  
Les Smith, Investigator  
Michael Wirtz  
Emory Johnson  
Juanita Chastain, Executive Director  
Terri Estes, Government Analyst  
Mr. Bissell  
Mr. Myers  
Mr. Brady  
Mr. Taylor  
Ms. Smith  
Patrick Baglino  
Tracy Wenzel  
Ms. Parramore

Court Reporter:

Sue Habershaw, 850.385.9426

### **Hearing not involving issues of disputed material fact**

#### **Bissell Architect, PA**

Mr. Bissell was present and represented by Tracy Wenzell. Ms. Clark commented that this hearing was a Chapter 120.57(2), F.S. hearing where there were not issues of dispute. She commented that the hearing was being held based on a notice of intent to approve with conditions filed April 13, 2004 and referred the board to the order in the agenda packet. Ms. Clark commented that the board heard the matter on February 5, 2004 and they determined that the application should be approved with a \$4,000 fine for practice prior to licensure. She commented that Bissell Architect filed timely for a hearing.

Ms. Wenzel commented that they do not dispute the facts, however, they requested that the board consider mitigating factors and reduce the fine. She commented that Mr. Bissell was not aware of the requirement and when he was made aware he immediately filed for licensure. She commented that he came forward on his own and he is a sole practitioner. She commented that his name was in the title of the firm. She commented that the board had considered these factors for a previous case and reduced the fine and requested that the board allow the same for Bissell Architects. Mr. Gonzalez commented that he agreed.

MOTION: Mr. Hall moved to reduce the fine from \$4,000 to \$1,000 based on the mitigating factors.

SECOND: Mr. Gonzalez seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Application Review**

#### **Architecture Certificate of Authorization**

##### **International Design Partnership, Inc.**

Mr. Myers was present. Mr. Bullock reviewed the application and comments that Mr. Hicks made regarding the application. Mr. Myers commented that they were previously licensed and they never received their renewal notice. He commented that his personal license was current and the business license renewal was overlooked. He commented that they came forward as soon as they realized the previous license was null and void.

MOTION: Mr. Hall moved to approve with a \$1,000 fine.

SECOND: Mr. Gonzalez seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

##### **Michael Brady, Inc.**

Mr. Brady, Mr. Taylor, and Ms. Smith were present. Mr. Rodriguez asked Mr. Brady about the Tennessee and Florida addresses listed in the application. Mr. Brady replied and confirmed that there were offices in Tennessee and Florida.

MOTION: Mr. Hall moved to approve with a \$1,000 fine.

SECOND: Mr. Rodriguez seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Interior Design Endorsement**

#### **Patrick Baglino**

Mr. Baglino was present. Ms. Grigsby commented that she reviewed the application and recommended denial based on the education requirements. She referred the board to the educational consultant's review. Ms. Baglino commented that his degree was in home economics, which covered the interior design section on the school. He commented that a lot of his study was done independently and was licensed in numerous states. He commented that he had no intention of opening a business in Florida and had only one residential project.

Ms. Grigsby commented that if the only project he was going to do was residential work that he did not need a license. Ms. Clark commented that he did not need a license to do residential work but he could not represent or hold himself out as an interior designer. Portions of the tape were inaudible.

Mr. Baglino requested to withdraw his application in lieu of being denied. The board advised that he could use the title interior decorator not interior designer. Ms. Grigsby reviewed for Mr. Baglino the requirements to be licensed in Florida.

#### **Parramore Interiors, Inc.**

Ms. Parramore was present. Ms. Estes commented that Ms. Parramore received her personal license at the last board meeting and was fined \$300. She commented that this application was before the board for her business license for practice on a null and void license. The board determined that since she was fined for her personal license they would not fine for the business license.

MOTION: Ms. Grigsby moved to approve as presented.

SECOND: Mr. Hall seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

### **Ratification list (e-mailed/faxed)**

#### Interior Design by Endorsement

##### Item 1-3

MOTION: Mr. Rodriguez moved to approve item 1-3.

SECOND: Ms. Grigsby seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

#### Architecture by Exam

##### Item 4-10

MOTION: Mr. Rodriguez move to approve items 4-10.

SECOND: Ms. Grigsby seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Architecture by Endorsement  
Items 11-46

MOTION: Mr. Rodriguez moved to approve items 11-46.

SECOND: Mr. Hall seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Architecture Certificate of Authorization  
Items 47-58

MOTION: Mr. Hall moved to approve items 47-58.

SECOND: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Architecture Business Name Change  
Items 59-60

MOTION: Mr. Hall moved to approve items 59-60.

SECOND: Mr. Rodriguez seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Interior Design Certificate of Authorization  
Item 61

MOTION: Mr. Rodriguez moved to approve item 61.

SECOND: Mr. Hall seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Architecture applying dual licensure

MOTION: Ms. Grigsby moved to approve as presented.

SECOND: Ms. Shore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

**Discussion**

Smith, Thompson, Shaw & Manausa Contract review for renewal

Mr. Rodriguez commented that the contract was the same as the previous with effective dates being updated.

MOTION: Mr. Rodriguez moved to approve as presented.

SECOND: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion.

Mr. Hall asked if the board would have the funds. Mr. Rodriguez commented that they would have funds July 1, 2004 and that the contract was effective through October 31, 2006.

Mr. Rodriguez commented that the board would ratify an amendment to the original contract extended it for two years. He requested that the board vote whether to extend the contract for two years and authorize the Chair to review and sign the contract. He commented that it would not need to come back before the board. The board discussed the appropriation of \$525,000.

Mr. Hall requested not to approve until all the facts and official documents were provided.

Mr. Minacci commented that the terms of the contract would remain the same and limited by the Legislative appropriation. He commented that they have sufficient Legislative appropriation for the next fiscal year. He requested that the board extend the contract period until October 31, 2006. He requested that the board approve the extension of the two year period and he would prepare an amendment to the current contract, which accepts all the terms of the contract including extending for two years. He requested that they grant Mr. Bullock authorization to sign the amendment.

Mr. Rodriguez commented that paragraph 11 indicates the amount. He commented that putting an amount in the contract did not make sense because the appropriation could vary year to year.

Mr. Rodriguez restated the motion was that the board authorize the two year extension of the Smith, Thompson, Shaw & Manausa contract and authorize the Chair to execute the extension when finalize by the department. The question was called and it passed unanimously.

The board requested that a copy be placed on the next agenda.

### **Rule 61G-17.001 and 002, Fees**

MOTION: Mr. Hall moved to approve Rule 61G1-17.001, F.A.C. as presented.

SECOND: Ms. Membiela seconded the motion and it passed with Mr. Rodriguez opposing.

### **Core Course Deficiency**

MOTION: Mr. Rodriguez moved to table until the August meeting.

SECOND: Mr. Gonzalez seconded the motion and it passed with Mr. Hall opposing.

The board discussed the need to impose discipline and a fine for non-compliance. Ms. Grigsby commented that she felt the licensee should take the course. Mr. Bullock requested that the number of out of state residents be identified.

### **New Business**

Mr. Bullock commented that he requested that the necessary funds be placed to allow for four board members, executive director, and an attorney attend the NCARB meetings. He commented that the same be allowed for NCIDQ. Mr. Bullock commented that he would provide information regarding qualifying businesses.

**Old Business**

Ms. Chastain requested that board members submit any outstanding travel as soon as possible.

**Adjourn**

MOTION: Mr. Hall moved to adjourn.

SECOND: Mr. Gustafson seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 9:50 a.m.