

August 7, 2023  
Board of Accountancy  
Department of Business and Professional Regulations  
<https://meet.goto.com/380908629>

[Notice](#)

Monday August 7, 2023

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. Roll call of Attendees was called by Roger Scarborough, and reflected the following persons present:

Board Members

William Blend (Chair)	Present
Brent Sparkman	Not Present
Jason Lafser	Present
Tracy Keegan	Present
Shireen Sackreiter	Present
Michelle Maingot	Present
William Benson	Present
Steve Platau	Present
Caridad Vasallo	Present

Staff

Roger Scarborough	Present
Kevin Brown	Present
Ashley Bordeaux	Present

Rachelle Munson, Senior Assistant Attorney General and Board Counsel. Ed Tellechea, Attorney General's Office. Katie Pareja, Chief Attorney, Office of the General Counsel. Shelly Weir, Paul Brown and Jason Harrell of the Florida Institute of Certified Public Accounting. Jennifer Green of Liberty Partners of Tallahassee. The court reporter was Terri Ferreira.

1. DOAH Case 22-2870 (Kevin Pillion v. DBPR)

Mr. Pillion was present, with Mr. Dwight Slater present as counsel.

Mr. Blend presented opening remarks regarding the nature of this hearing and the instructions for counsel for the respondent and the plaintiff.

Ms. Munson made an opening statement on behalf of the Department.

Mr. Slater made an opening statement on behalf of Mr. Pillion.

Mr. Slater presents exception 1 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 1.

Motion made by Mr. Benson, seconded by Ms. Vasallo to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence given in the findings of fact. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 2 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 2.

Motion made by Mr. Lafser, seconded by Mr. Benson to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence given in the findings of fact. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 3 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 3.

Motion made by Mr. Lafser, seconded by Mr. Platau to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence given in the findings of fact. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 4 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 4.

Motion made by Ms. Maingot, seconded by Mr. Benson to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence given in the findings of fact. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 5 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 5.

Motion made by Ms. Sackreiter, seconded by Mr. Benson to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence given in the findings of fact. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 6 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 6.

Motion made by Mr. Platau, seconded by Ms. Maingot to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence given in the findings of fact. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 7 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 7.

Motion made by Mr. Blend, seconded by Mr. Benson to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence given in the findings of fact and accept the conclusions of law and interpretation of the administrative rules as provided in recommended order. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 8 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 8.

Motion made by Mr. Blend, seconded by Mr. Benson to reject the exception and accept the conclusions of law and interpretation of the administrative rules as provided in recommended order. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Slater presents exception 9 to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order.

Ms. Munson presents the Department's response to exception 9.

Motion made by Mr. Blend, seconded by Mr. Lafser to reject the exception based on the competent substantial evidence and accept the conclusions of law and interpretation of the administrative rules as provided in recommended order. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Motion made by Ms. Maingot, seconded by Ms. Sackreiter to accept the findings of fact as written in the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Motion made by Ms. Sackreiter, seconded by Mr. Benson to accept the conclusions of law as written in the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

Motion made by Mr. Benson, seconded by Mr. Platau to accept the penalty recommendation as written in the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order. Upon vote, this motion passed unanimously.

## 2. Public Comments

None.

## 3. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 11:15 a.m.

---

William Blend, Chair

---

Date